Darkness and Light: Class Conflict and Social Mobility in Aravind Adiga's The White Tiger

Dr. Rachna Agarwal
Assistant Professor and Department of English
Govt. Degree College Jahangirabad
Email:rachnaagarwal799@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper critically examines Aravind Adiga's The White Tiger through a postcolonial lens, focusing on the stark socio-economic disparities and systemic exploitation embedded within contemporary Indian society. The novel dismantles the celebratory narrative of a rapidly progressing nation by exposing the lived realities of poverty, servitude, and class oppression. Through the protagonist Balram Halwai, Adiga presents a disturbing yet compelling portrait of survival in a hierarchical structure where mobility is both desired and violently contested. The metaphor of the "Rooster Coop" encapsulates the psychological entrapment of the underclass, revealing how power sustains itself through fear and conditioning. This study also explores themes of corruption, fractured democracy, caste oppression, and moral ambiguity, arguing that Adiga's narrative is not merely fictional but deeply rooted in socio-political truths. Ultimately, the paper highlights how the novel interrogates the contradictions of postcolonial India and challenges dominant discourses of development and progress.

Keywords

Postcolonialism, Social Inequality, Class Conflict, Rooster Coop, Indian Society, Capitalism, Marginalisation

Reference to this paper should be made as follows:

Dr. Rachna Agarwal

Darkness and Light: Class Conflict and Social Mobility in Aravind Adiga's The White Tiger

Notions Dec. 2021,
Vol. XI1, No. 2,
pp. 138-143
Article No. 19

Online available at :
https://anubooks.com/notions-vol-xii-no-2-july-dec.-2021/

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* stands as one of the most compelling literary explorations of contemporary India, presenting a narrative that is as unsettling as it is revealing. Written in the form of a series of letters, the novel captures the voice of Balram Halwai, a man who emerges from the margins of society to become a successful entrepreneur through morally questionable means. What makes the narrative particularly striking is not merely the story of individual success but the larger socio-political framework within which this transformation occurs. Adiga does not simplistically celebrate progress; instead, he exposes the fractures beneath the surface of what is often portrayed as a rapidly developing nation.

At the heart of the novel lies a stark division between what Balram calls the India of Light and the India of Darkness. This duality is not a mere rhetorical device but a lived reality for millions. The India of Light represents urban prosperity, technological advancement, and global integration, while the India of Darkness is characterised by poverty, illiteracy, and systemic neglect. Balram's own life begins in the latter, in the village of Laxmangarh, where opportunities are scarce, and survival itself becomes a daily struggle. His observation, *"India is two countries in one: an India of Light, and an India of Darkness,"* encapsulates the central contradiction that the novel seeks to unravel.

The portrayal of rural India in the narrative challenges the idealised images often associated with village life. Instead of harmony and simplicity, Adiga presents a landscape marked by exploitation and deprivation. Landlords exert immense control over the lives of villagers, extracting labour and resources while offering little in return. Education, which is frequently seen as a pathway to empowerment, is shown to be deeply compromised. Balram's own schooling is cut short due to economic pressures, reflecting a broader pattern in which the poor are denied access to meaningful opportunities. He remarks with a sense of quiet resignation, *"Me and thousands of others like me are half-baked, because we were never allowed to complete our schooling."* This statement underscores the structural inequalities that perpetuate cycles of poverty.

One of the most powerful metaphors in the novel is that of the Rooster Coop, which symbolises the psychological entrapment of the underclass. According to Balram, servants in India are aware of their exploitation, yet they remain complicit because of fear and familial obligations. The image of roosters waiting calmly for slaughter, despite witnessing the fate of others, captures the essence of this condition. Balram explains, *"The greatest thing to come out of this country in the ten thousand years of its history is not the Taj Mahal, but the Rooster Coop."* This metaphor

reveals how systems of power sustain themselves not merely through force but through deeply ingrained patterns of thought and behaviour.

Adiga's critique extends to the political system, which is depicted as fundamentally corrupt and ineffective. Democracy, often hailed as a cornerstone of modern India, appears hollow in the context of the novel. Elections do little to alter the conditions of the poor, and political leaders are shown to be more concerned with maintaining their own power than addressing systemic issues. The novel suggests that political institutions are complicit in sustaining inequality, rather than challenging it. Balram's cynical observation that the poor are "trained not to dream" reflects a profound disillusionment with the promises of democracy.

The urban spaces of Delhi and Bangalore further complicate the narrative of progress. While cities are often seen as sites of opportunity, they are also spaces where inequality becomes more visible. Balram's work as a driver exposes him to the stark contrast between the lives of his wealthy employers and his own precarious existence. The luxury and excess of the upper class stand in sharp contrast to the invisibility of those who serve them. He notes, *"The moment you recognise what is beautiful in this world, you stop being a slave."* Yet this recognition alone is not enough to guarantee freedom; it must be accompanied by action, however morally ambiguous that action may be.

Religion and tradition, which might be expected to offer solace or moral guidance, are depicted as reinforcing social hierarchies. The figure of Hanuman, revered for his devotion and loyalty, becomes a symbol of the values imposed upon the lower classes. Balram reflects on this cultural conditioning, suggesting that devotion is often used to justify servitude. This critique extends to the caste system, which continues to shape social and economic realities despite claims of modernisation. The persistence of such structures highlights the limitations of India's progress and the enduring influence of historical inequalities.

The novel's exploration of morality is particularly complex. Balram's decision to murder his employer, Ashok, marks a turning point in the narrative, raising difficult questions about justice and survival. While his actions are undeniably violent, they are also presented as a response to systemic oppression. Balram himself acknowledges the ambiguity of his choices, stating, *"I was looking for the key for years, but the door was always open."* This suggests that the possibility of escape exists, but it requires a willingness to confront deeply ingrained moral boundaries. Globalisation and capitalism play a significant role in shaping the world of the novel. The rise of outsourcing and the influence of Western economies create new

opportunities, but they also exacerbate existing inequalities. Balram's eventual success as an entrepreneur reflects these changing dynamics, yet his methods mirror the exploitative practices he once endured. This cyclical nature of power raises questions about the possibility of genuine transformation within such a system. The novel suggests that while individuals may rise, the structures that enable inequality remain largely intact.

Adiga's narrative also subtly engages with the idea of language as a marker of power and exclusion. English, in the novel, is not merely a medium of communication but a tool of social mobility and domination. Balram repeatedly acknowledges the significance of language in shaping identity and opportunity, observing that certain truths can only be articulated in English. This linguistic hierarchy reinforces class divisions, as fluency in English becomes synonymous with education, privilege, and access to power. At the same time, Balram's own fractured yet expressive use of English disrupts this hierarchy, allowing him to reclaim a voice within a system designed to silence individuals like him. His narrative thus becomes an act of resistance, demonstrating that even those positioned at the margins can appropriate the language of authority to narrate their own stories.

The epistolary form of the narrative adds another dimension to the text, allowing Balram to address an international audience while reflecting on his own experiences. By writing to the Chinese Premier, he situates his story within a broader global context, emphasising the interconnectedness of economies and the shared challenges faced by developing nations. This narrative strategy also highlights the performative aspect of storytelling, as Balram constructs his identity through his account.

Violence, both physical and structural, is a recurring theme throughout the novel. The murder of Ashok is the most overt instance, but it is preceded and accompanied by numerous forms of systemic violence, including poverty, discrimination, and exploitation. These conditions are normalised within the society depicted in the novel, reflecting a broader desensitisation to suffering. Balram's journey can thus be seen as both a product of and a response to this environment.

The dehumanisation of the poor is another critical aspect of the narrative. Servants are treated as expendable, denied dignity and agency. Balram's experiences illustrate how individuals are reduced to their economic roles, their identities shaped by their positions within a rigid hierarchy. This dehumanisation is reinforced by societal attitudes that view the poor as inherently inferior. The novel challenges these perceptions by giving voice to those who are often silenced.

Family and community, which might be expected to provide support, are depicted as both protective and restrictive. Balram's initial reluctance to rebel is rooted in his concern for his family, who would bear the consequences of his actions. This highlights the complex interplay between personal ambition and collective responsibility. The eventual breakdown of these ties marks a significant shift in his journey, enabling him to pursue his own path. Language plays a crucial role in shaping the narrative, with Balram's voice characterised by a blend of humour, irony, and candid reflection. His storytelling is both engaging and unsettling, inviting readers to question the reliability of his account while grappling with its implications. This narrative style underscores the subjective nature of truth and the power of perspective.

As the narrative progresses, Balram's transformation becomes increasingly evident. His journey from a submissive servant to a confident entrepreneur is marked by moments of self-realisation and moral compromise. He ultimately embraces his new identity, asserting, *"I am tomorrow."* This declaration reflects both his personal success and the broader aspirations of a nation in transition. Yet it also raises questions about the cost of such success and the extent to which it perpetuates existing inequalities. The novel's conclusion does not offer a sense of resolution but instead leaves readers with a series of unsettling questions. Can true freedom be achieved within a fundamentally unequal system? Is violence a necessary tool for breaking free from oppression, or does it merely replicate the structures it seeks to dismantle? These questions remain open, reflecting the complexity of the issues at hand.

In examining *The White Tiger* through a postcolonial lens, it becomes evident that the novel engages with the enduring legacies of colonialism in shaping contemporary India. The structures of power and inequality depicted in the narrative are not new but are rooted in historical processes that continue to influence the present. Adiga's work thus serves as a reminder that the end of colonial rule does not necessarily mark the end of colonial dynamics.

The significance of the novel lies not only in its critique of Indian society but also in its broader implications for understanding postcolonial realities. By presenting a narrative that is both specific and universal, Adiga invites readers to consider the ways in which power operates across different contexts. The story of Balram Halwai becomes a lens through which larger questions of justice, morality, and human agency can be explored.

Ultimately, *The White Tiger* challenges readers to confront uncomfortable truths about the world we inhabit. It disrupts dominant narratives of progress and

compels us to acknowledge the inequalities that persist beneath the surface. In doing so, it reaffirms the importance of literature as a tool for critical reflection and social awareness. The novel does not provide easy answers, but it offers a powerful starting point for engaging with the complexities of contemporary society.

Another crucial dimension of the novel lies in its exploration of identity formation within oppressive systems. Balram's transformation is not only economic but deeply psychological, as he sheds the imposed identity of a submissive servant and constructs a new self-defined by agency and ambition. However, this process is fraught with contradictions, as his newfound identity is built upon the very structures of exploitation he once despised. This duality reflects a broader postcolonial condition in which individuals navigate between resistance and complicity. Balram's assertion of selfhood, therefore, is both empowering and unsettling, as it raises questions about whether true liberation is possible within systems that demand moral compromise. His journey suggests that identity in such contexts is not fixed but continuously negotiated, shaped by forces of power, survival, and aspiration.

References

1. Adiga, Aravind. *The White Tiger*. New Delhi: HarperCollins, 2008.
2. Adiga, Aravind. "Interview with The Times of India." October 18, 2008.
3. Childs, Peter, and Patrick Williams. *An Introduction to Postcolonial Theory*. New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf, 1997.
4. McLeod, John. *Beginning Postcolonialism*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2000.
5. Ashcroft, Bill, Gareth Griffiths, and Helen Tiffin. *The Empire Writes Back*. Routledge, 2002.
6. Said, Edward. *Orientalism*. New York: Vintage Books, 1978.
7. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, 1988.
8. Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.